

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 MARIO DELARA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 G.I. TRUCKING COMPANY,
10 a California Corporation; ESTES
EXPRESS LINES, a Virginia
11 Corporation; MARSHAL ROBLES,

12 Defendants.
13

2:10-CV-02223-PMP-GWF


ORDER

14 On December 27, 2010, Plaintiff Mario Delara filed a Motion titled
15 "Opposition to Petition for Removal" (Doc. #5). Treating Plaintiff's Motion as a
16 "Motion to Remand," the Court directed that a response be promptly filed by
17 Defendants (Doc. #7). Plaintiff's Motion is now fully briefed, and the Court finds
18 Plaintiff's Motion to Remand must be denied.

19 Specifically, although removal statutes are construed narrowly, it is clear in this
20 case that Defendants removal of this action on December 21, 2010 was appropriate as
21 original diversity jurisdiction exists in this Court. 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that** Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Doc. #5)
23 **is DENIED.**

24
25 DATED: January 31, 2011.

26
27 

28 PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge